



February 2011

#### BILL& MELINDA GATES foundation





#### Objectives of today's session



Share the findings of our analysis of the soybean industry across Southern Africa

Share our recommendations for making the industry succeed

Get your perspectives on the challenges faced by the industry and how to address them

Gauge your interest in being part of a regional soy alliance to drive the growth of the industry



#### Executive summary (1/2)

- The soybean industry in the region does not satisfy demand, with insufficient production (due to marginal profitability and limited government support) and a processing sector that is backward integrated from the poultry industry or oil refiners
  - Although soybean is an attractive crop that is well suited to the region and has significant regional demand, the region currently produces <1% of global soybean output and is a significant net importer of soybean products, importing 55% of its total demand
  - Total regional demand is 2m MT, dominated by South Africa (65%) and production is currently 861k MT, again dominated by South Africa (68%)
  - The market has grown rapidly over the last decade
    - Production has grown by over 100%, driven by significant increases in the land planted with soybeans
    - Demand has also doubled, driven by the rapid growth in the poultry market, though the human nutrition market is also important in Malawi and DRC
  - Commercial production dominates in South Africa, Zambia, Zimbabwe and Angola, with smallholder production dominating in the other countries
  - The soybean is currently a marginally profitable product for producers as average yields are low, both due to poor agronomic practices and a lack of inputs, especially among smallholder farmers
  - Soybean competes with the staples (typically maize, which receives significant government support) across the region, leading to volatile production given the interaction between maize and soybean prices
  - There is currently excess processing capacity in the region relative to current production, but it is insufficient compared to current demand; processors are typically integrated back from poultry / feed companies and oil refiners
  - There is currently little government support for the soybean industry, while the policy against the use of GMOs and trade barriers hold the industry back, leading to limited regional trade
  - Even in this context, most countries in the region can produce soybean at a cost that can compete with imported soybean from Latin America, and some countries could even export into South Africa and Zimbabwe (the main importers of cake in the region)
- The market is expected to grow rapidly over the next decade:
  - Demand will more than double as the domestic poultry industry continues to grow rapidly
  - However, production growth is unlikely to keep up with demand growth, so the region will remain a net importer of soybeans
  - Zambia could become a significant net exporter of soybeans, particularly to South Africa and, in the short term, Zimbabwe



#### Executive summary (2/2)

- The key challenges facing the industry are:
  - · poor agronomic practices
  - the non-GMO policy
  - uncertainty over land tenure
  - poor input availability outside South Africa, Zambia and Zimbabwe
  - · poor transport links and high cost of transport
  - poor application of research
  - competition from palm oil
- Despite these challenges, we believe that the industry could produce 1.2-1.4m MT by 2020, meeting 40% of its 2020 demand; this would create over \$200m of additional revenue p.a. for the industry and improve the lives of over 400k smallholders
- To achieve this goal and address the challenges, we recommend a three phase approach to developing the industry to
  - Build the platform in years 1-3
  - Develop regional trade in years 4-5
  - Scale up the industry in years 6-10
- During these phases, the industry must carry out activities to:
  - Improve industry co-ordination
  - Improve industry information
  - Integrate the region, promoting trade
  - Expand commercial production
  - Expand smallholder production
  - · Improve the seeds used
  - Use soy for human nutrition
  - Expand the regional market
- In addition, the industry must work with other industries in the region to:
  - Improve land tenure
  - Promote the use of GMO seeds for soybeans (and other crops)



#### Contents



- Background
- Production & productivity
- Demand
- Costs & competitiveness
- Forecast
- Challenges
- Vision & impact
- Recommendations



#### Background



- Background
  - Objectives
  - Timing
  - Approach
  - Regional production in global context
- Production & productivity
- Demand
- Costs & competitiveness
- Challenges
- Vision & impact
- Recommendations



## Background: TNS, Agland with BMGF support, have conducted a review of the soybean industry in 7 Southern African countries



**Our approach**: To develop this roadmap, we collected primary data, used existing research and worked with industry leaders and leading global experts in soybeans all along the value-chain.

#### Project background and objectives

- **Objective**: To develop a *Multi-Country Strategic* Soybean Industry Roadmap, which will:
  - Outline current and potential production of both smallholder and commercial soybean
  - Detail options for surmounting challenges to a competitive, Southern Africa soybean industry
  - Lay the foundation for a regional soybean industry group that can help bolster, sustain and monitor growth in this industry
- **Timing:** This study is part of a four-year program to develop a regionally competitive soybean value chain, focusing first in Mozambique and Zambia. Critical to the success of the program is a deeper and actionable understanding of the potential growth in the larger soybean industry across Southern Africa.



Background: The steering committee of this work ensured that we had guidance from several leaders of the industry

### BILL& MELINDA GATES foundation



















## Relevance to Africa: soybeans are both attractive to Africa and well suited to its agro-climatic conditions

#### Advantages of soybeans

- A robust soybean sector will spur development of other sectors (livestock, particularly poultry)
- Soybean consumption by humans improves nutrition levels
- When rotated with maize, soybean improves maize yields by 10% - 20% by fixing nitrogen in the soil
- Soybean is a relatively simple and low cost crop to grow with a short growing season
- It is relatively easy to add value in-country by processing soybeans
- There is a rapidly growing existing market for soybeans in the region

SSA and Latin America are similar in terms of the important agro-climactic characteristics for soybean production

- the same latitude
- similar evapo-transpiration rates (ET)
- similar solar radiation rates
- similar rainfall patterns (wet summers) and total annual precipitation volumes
- similar macro-climatic patterns driven by west
- coast cold ocean water currents and east coast warm ocean currents

#### Soybean agro-climatic suitability map





### World soybean production: global production has grown rapidly over the last decade, but SSA is still a marginal producer

Soybean production, 2000-2009, m MT



#### Production & productivity



### Background

- Production & productivity
  - Regional production
  - Commercial & smallholder output
  - Productivity & inputs
  - Demand
  - Costs & competitiveness

  - Challenges
  - Vision & impact
  - Recommendations



## Regional soybean production: the region produced 861k MT in 2010, with South Africa making up 68% of production

Soybean production in Southern Africa, 2010, k MT

Share of region, %



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses



## Change in production: production in South Africa and Zambia has grown rapidly, driven by increased land planted



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses



### Soybean production by farmer type: most of the large producers are dominated by commercial farmers



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses

\* Defined as farmers planting on less than 3hs in total



### Soybean yields: yields are lower than Argentine yields, making soybean a marginally profitable crop in the region

Soybean yields, 2010, MT / ha



analyses, NAMC country analyses



## Production practices: farmers in the region typically balance the soybean and maize against each other

- Due to maize surpluses, maize prices have decreased despite international maize price increases, which makes soybean more attractive (and maize less attractive)
- As farmers reallocate land from maize to soybean through crop rotation, maize prices will rise as the surplus shrinks
- Increases in maize prices makes soybean less attractive (and maize more attractive)
   Farmers will then switch from soybean back to maize, which would repeat this cycle
- Farmers make rational economic decisions on their cropping mix based on:
  - Crop prices & relative profitability
  - Household food security
  - Market access (transaction costs)
  - Subsidies
  - Agronomic knowledge
  - Suitability of soil & water to crop

"Farmers in South Africa decide how much soy to plant based on soy and maize prices the year before." *Commercial farmer, South Africa* 

Source: Interviews, Agland analysis, TechnoServe analysis



echnoServe BUSINESS SOLUTIONS TO POVERTY

#### Farm level decision making: smallholders choose to grow soybeans only when it is more attractive than other cash crops or to diversify their crops

Pros and cons of soybean production for smallholders

| Advantages of soybeans  | Disadvantages of soybeans   |
|---|---|
| <ul> <li>Low cash input required</li> <li>Cash crop with ready market</li> <li>Carryover soil nitrogen</li> <li>Crop diversification</li> <li>Soybean input subsides (Malawi)</li> <li>Can be more profitable than alternative cash crops (e.g., cotton in Malawi)</li> <li>In countries with significant malnutrition, smallholders value the high protein content</li> <li>Not easy to steal</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Yield and income variable by annual rainfall and geography</li> <li>Soybeans are not typically consumed by smallholders</li> <li>Subsidies (production packages) for other crops</li> <li>Transaction cost may be high if the market is distant from producers</li> <li>Can be less profitable then alternative cash crops (e.g., tobacco in Zimbabwe)</li> <li>Need for inoculant</li> <li>Requires processing</li> </ul> |

On balance soybean is viewed as a rational choice to include in a smallholder's crop mix as witnessed by 200,000+ small farmers in the region that produce the crop annually.



# Agricultural practices: Production practices are only good among commercial farmers in South Africa, Zambia and Zimbabwe

| Country      | Commercial  | Smallholder  |
|--------------|---|--|
| South Africa | <ul> <li>The best are world class</li> </ul>                            | <ul> <li>Limited data - v. small number of producers</li> </ul>  |
| Zambia       | <ul> <li>Well-developed</li> </ul>                                      | <ul> <li>Rudimentary</li> <li>Low priority crop compared to maize</li> </ul>   |
| Zimbabwe     | • Excellent   | <ul> <li>Mostly inexperienced - poor practices</li> </ul>  |
| Malawi       | •n/a  | <ul> <li>Few inputs used, but low-cost producers</li> </ul>  |
| Mozambique   | <ul><li>Very few producers</li><li>Current producers are poor</li></ul> | <ul> <li>Limited production; few inputs used - exception of<br/>improved seed (50%)</li> </ul>                             |
| Angola       | •n/a  | •n/a   |
| DRC          | •n/a  | <ul> <li>Extremely small volumes produced - limited inputs used</li> <li>Variable, but generally poor practices</li> </ul> |

"What do smallholders do wrong? Everything." Extension officer, Zambia

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses



#### Utilization of soybean farm inputs: while inputs are widely Bad Good available, they are only well used in South Africa and Zambia

|              | Importance<br>of market | Market characteristics   |
|--------------|-------------------------|--|
| South Africa |                         | All inputs widely available and used   |
| Zambia       |                         | <ul> <li>Inputs generally available, but expensive as most are imported</li> <li>Commercial farmers use inputs, smallholders do not</li> </ul> |
| Zimbabwe     | $\bigcirc$              | <ul> <li>Inputs widely available but not widely used, due in part to a lack of credit</li> </ul>   |
| Malawi       | $\bigcirc$              | <ul> <li>Poor availability of inputs</li> <li>Even when available, inputs rarely used</li> </ul>   |
| Mozambique   | $\bigcirc$              | <ul> <li>Poor availability and high cost of inputs</li> <li>Even when available, inputs rarely used</li> </ul>                                 |
| Angola       | $\bigcirc$              | <ul> <li>Inputs imported from Brazil</li> <li>Commercial farmers use inputs, smallholders do not</li> </ul>                                    |
| DRC          | $\bigcirc$              | <ul> <li>Poor availability and high cost of inputs</li> <li>Even when available, inputs rarely used</li> </ul>                                 |

"I don't use any inputs except seeds – this makes soy a cheap crop for me." Smallholder, Mozambique

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses



19 echnoServe

# Utilization of soybean farm Inputs (1/2): while inputs are widely available, they are only well used in South Africa and Zambia

| Country         | Seed   | Agri-Chemicals  | Irrigation   | Inoculant  | Mechanisation   |
|-----------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| South<br>Africa | <ul> <li>Widely available from<br/>Int'l seed firms supply &amp;<br/>GMO's and widely used</li> </ul>                                | <ul> <li>Widely available &amp;<br/>widely used</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>50% of soybeans are<br/>irrigated</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Widely available and<br/>widely used</li> </ul>   | Widely available & widely used  |
| Zambia          | <ul> <li>Regional seed firms /<br/>non-GM</li> <li>Most small farmers<br/>save seed &amp; most large<br/>farmers buy seed</li> </ul> | • Available & used by<br>large farms, in rural<br>area agri-chemicals<br>available in<br>economic centres   | <ul> <li>Larger growers 65%<br/>irrigated, small farms<br/>rarely use irrigation</li> </ul>  | • Widely used by large<br>farmers, availability<br>to small farmers<br>limited – usually<br>provided via out-<br>grower programs           | Usually limited to<br>large growers,<br>limited number of<br>tractors available<br>for hire in rural<br>area                                    |
| Zim-<br>babwe   | <ul> <li>Most small farmers<br/>save seed &amp; most large<br/>farmers buy seed</li> <li>Regional seed firms /<br/>non-GM</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Generally available<br/>in towns &amp; rural<br/>economic centres</li> <li>Used by some large<br/>farms, less so by<br/>small farmers</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Some larger growers<br/>only; irrigation<br/>infrastructure<br/>vandalized, little<br/>investment in R&amp;M</li> <li>Small farms rarely<br/>use/have irrigation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Widely available in<br/>towns and rural<br/>centres, used by<br/>some larger farmers,<br/>less so by small<br/>farmers</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Usually limited to<br/>large growers</li> <li>Small farmers can<br/>custom hire<br/>equipment but at<br/>high relative cost</li> </ul> |
| Malawi          | <ul> <li>Available via local firms<br/>, NGO's &amp; MOA<br/>(subsidised)</li> <li>All seeds non-GM</li> <li>High cost</li> </ul>    | <ul> <li>Available but high cost</li> <li>Gov Farmer Input Supple Program (FISP) provides 95% of certified seed</li> </ul>                                | <ul> <li>Very limited, 99%<br/>rainfed</li> <li>Generally not<br/>available or used</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Use limited to &lt;7% of farmers</li> <li>Demand low</li> <li>High quality inoculant not available</li> </ul>                     | <ul> <li>Very limited, use<br/>by &lt;2% of farms</li> <li>Very limited<br/>number of tractors<br/>for hire</li> </ul>                          |

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses



## Utilization of soybean farm Inputs (2/2): inputs are not generally available in DRC and Mozambique

| Country         | Seed  | Agri-Chemicals  | Irrigation  | Inoculant  | Mechanisation  |
|-----------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Mozam-<br>bique | <ul> <li>Regional seed firms<br/>sell non-GM</li> <li>50% of farmers use<br/>improved seed</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Very limited,<br/>availability</li> <li>1% of farms use<br/>fertilizer &amp;<br/>herbicides</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Very limited, 1 % of<br/>farmers use irrigation.</li> <li>High development<br/>potential</li> </ul>        | • Only use by2% of farms (in seed multp.)                  | • Very limited; 2% of<br>farms use animal<br>tractions. Tractors<br>for hire very limited<br>& high cost |
| Angola          | Imported from Brazil /<br>non-GM  | <ul> <li>Imported from<br/>Brazil, not widely<br/>used by small<br/>holder</li> </ul>                           | <ul> <li>Very limited<br/>availability and<br/>knowledge – generally<br/>not used</li> </ul>                        | <ul> <li>General not<br/>available or used</li> </ul>      | <ul> <li>Usually limited to<br/>large growers</li> </ul>   |
| DRC             | <ul> <li>Very limited availability<br/>of improved seed</li> <li>Very limited use</li> </ul>          | <ul> <li>Not usually<br/>available</li> <li>High cost, limited<br/>use</li> </ul>                               | <ul> <li>Very limited, generally<br/>not used due to high<br/>rainfall in growing<br/>area and high cost</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Generally not<br/>available – not used</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Generally not<br/>available – not<br/>used</li> </ul>   |

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses



#### Demand



Background Production & productivity Demand

- Current demand by country
- Processing capacity
- Capacity utilization
- Costs & competitiveness
- orecast.
- Challenges
- Vision & impact
- Recommendations



## There are three main ways that soybeans can be used in Southern Africa





## Demand for cake & oil: South Africa dominates the region and oil demand generally exceeds cake demand

#### Demand for cake & oil in soybean equivalent, 2010, k MT



- Throughout this discussion, soybean cake and soybean oil demand are converted into soybean equivalent, calculated by assuming that, when processed, soybean yield 80% cake and 18% oil
- As processors must be able to sell both the cake and oil produced, we have assumed that the market is limited at the lower of the cake and oil demand in each country

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses



### Disaggregated demand cake: the poultry feed industry is the main consumer of soybean cake across the region

Demand\* for soybeans for cake\*\*, 2009/10, k MT



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses

- \* Excludes Angola
- \*\* Calculated by taking total demand for cooking oil (soybean, palm, sunflower) and dividing by solvent oil extraction rate of 18%



### Competing products: palm oil is a significant competitor for soybean oil, but soybean cake has no competitive substitute

|                 | Main competitor                    | Strength of competition  |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Soybean<br>Cake | <ul> <li>Cotton cake</li> </ul>    | <ul> <li>Weak – limited use for poultry &amp; pigs</li> </ul>  |
| Ounc            | <ul> <li>Sunflower cake</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Weak – lower protein, higher fibre</li> </ul>   |
|                 |                                    |  |
| Soybean<br>Oil  | •Palm oil                          | <ul> <li>Strong – palm oil is 10%-20% cheaper and market<br/>is generally price sensitive</li> </ul>                             |
|                 | •Sunflower oil                     | <ul> <li>Strong (South Africa) – sunflower oil is preferred oil<br/>in South African market due to taste &amp; health</li> </ul> |
|                 | "Palm<br>in the                    | n oil will play an increasingly important competitive role<br>region in the future" <i>Trader, Zambia</i>                        |

Source: Interviews, Agland analysis, TechnoServe analysis



# Change in demand: the market has grown rapidly over the last 5 years, driven by the poultry market



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses



# Human nutrition: the demand for soybean for human nutrition is important in Malawi and the DRC



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses



28

Low

High

#### Capacity utilisation: utilisation is low across the region, and more new capacity is coming on line



- 1,648k MT of new capacity is being added as processors seek to capitalise on industry growth
  - 1,333k MT in South Africa
  - 30k MT in Zambia
  - 100k MT in Zimbabwe
  - 185k MT in Malawi

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses

Includes all dual processing capacity



#### Processing capacity versus demand: processors in the region are typically backward integrated from feed / poultry or oil refiners

Processing capacity versus demand in Southern Africa, 2010, k MT

1,500

1,321

1.622

Design capacity
 Demand for cake
 Demand for oil

#### Industry characteristics

- Backward integrated from oil refiners
- Competes with sunflower oil for refining capacity



- · Capacity increasing as cake quality from largest processor is variable
- Backward integrated from oil refiners or poultry / feed companies
- 15% utilisation due to collapse of production
- New capacity coming on-line as processors seek to drive down costs
- · Backward integrated from oil refiners or poultry / feed companies
- New capacity coming on line as processors seek to satisfy domestic oil market
- · Backward integrated from oil refiners or poultry / feed companies
- Industry is immature
- Nascent industry
- Nascent industry
- Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses

South Africa

Zambia

Zimbabwe

Malawi

Angola

DRC

Mozambique

155

125

95

63

n/a

n/a

n/a

165

203

390

460

**F**90



#### Cost & competitiveness





Background

Production & productivity

Demand

### Costs & competitiveness

- Operating environment
- Unit costs
  - Competitiveness
  - Trade flows

orecast

•

Challenges

Vision & impact

Recommendations



# Policy environment: there is little government support for the industry in the region

Bad Good

| Country   | GMO          | Land  | Trade  | Direct government<br>involvement            | Govt involvement<br>in competing crops                     |
|---|--------------|---|--|---|--|
| South Africa  | $\checkmark$ | Land reforms are<br>underway, but<br>slowly |  | $\bigcirc$                                  |  |
| Zambia  | ×            |   | <ul> <li>Regular<br/>administrative<br/>bans on exports</li> </ul> | $\bigcirc$                                  | Government<br>subsidises and<br>guarantees maize<br>market |
| Zimbabwe  | ×            | Mixed / unclear land tenure                 |  | $\bigcirc$                                  | GMB maize prices distort market                            |
| Malawi  | ×            | $\bigcirc$                                  |  | Some soy seeds distributed                  | $\bigcirc$   |
| Mozambique  | ×            | Welcomes                                    |  | Government<br>supporting<br>smallholders    |  |
| Angola  | ×            | commercial<br>investors                     |  | Government<br>considering import<br>tariffs |  |
| DRC   | ×            |   |  | $\bigcirc$                                  |  |
| "The introduction of five genes in Africa (Bt for caterpillars, Bt/CpTi for beetles, Snowdrop gene for aphids & sapsuckers, Roundup Ready, and nematode resistance) would increase agricultural production on the continent by 20-40 percent." <i>Plant scientist, Zimbabwe</i> |              |   |  |   |  |

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses, Agland analysis



IECHNOSERVE

#### Operating environment: finance is generally difficult to get / O Bad Good afford and transport links are poor

|                   | Credit / finance   | Transport & storage   |
|-------------------|--|---|
| South<br>Africa   | Credit and finance available to commercial farmers   | <ul><li>Transport links are good</li><li>Adequate storage</li></ul>   |
| Zambia (          | Credit and finance is available to (<br>commercial farmers, but is expensive                   | <ul> <li>Transport costs are high and secondary road quality is poor</li> <li>Storage facilities are improving</li> </ul>                                 |
| Zimbabwe (        | Significant lack of liquidity in the country in general  | Transport links are good<br>• Adequate storage  |
| Malawi (          | All smallholder production with little (<br>access to finance                                  | <ul> <li>Roads are reasonable but prone to flooding</li> <li>Shire-Zambezi waterway could improve access to ports</li> <li>Storage is adequate</li> </ul> |
| Mozam- (<br>bique | All smallholder production with little (<br>access to finance                                  | <ul> <li>Transport is poor</li> <li>Production is far from demand</li> <li>Inadequate storage</li> </ul>  |
| Angola (          | Difficult to access finance, though New (<br>Agricultural Bank should help                     | <ul> <li>Transport is poor but improving with investment in rail and ports</li> <li>Inadequate storage</li> </ul>   |
| DRC               | All smallholder production with little (<br>access to finance                                  | Transport is poor but improving<br>• Inadequate storage   |
| Regional          | (  | Border delays hamper regional trade   |
| C C               | The region is generally competitive on soybean osts constrain competitiveness globally; must b | production costs, but poor infrastructure and high transport<br>e able to get it out for \$30-40/mt, not \$100." <i>Trader, Zambia</i>                    |

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses, Agland analysis





Source : USDA/FAS; International Trade Reports/Oilseeds.TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses

\* Costs Include: soybeans & transport

\*\* Costs Include: soybeans, duty & transport





- While regional beans are price competitive vs. Argentina, Argentine beans are competitive because
  - They are a more reliable source
  - There are lower aggregation costs

Source: USDA/FAS; International Trade Reports/Oilseeds, TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses

Defined as cost of imported soybeans to main market less cost of transport from \* main domestic production area to main market



### Regional trade: The region is a significant net importer of processed soybean products

Imports and exports as share of domestic demand, 2010, %



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses



#### Forecast





- Background
- Production & productivity
- Costs & competitiveness
- Forecast
  - Forecast demand and drivers
  - Production estimates
  - Yield improvements
- Vision & impact
- Recommendations



## Demand forecast: Demand is expected to more than double in the next decade



 The market for soy oil in all countries except South Africa and Zimbabwe is already so large that, even without growth, it can already absorb all of the additional oil that would be produced to meet projected cake demand

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses

\* Growth projections based on a triangulation between the perspectives of experts in the soybean industry and in industries that use soybean, growth projections for the main users of soybeans, historic growth, and projected GDP and population growth



echnoServe

### Demand drivers: the poultry market is expected to continue to be the main driver of soybean in the next decade

Share of future soybean demand due to poultry growth, 2010-2020, %



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses



39

Poultry

Other

## Projected trade balance: without significant action, the region is likely to remain in deficit for the next decade

Projected demand vs. current and projected\* production, k MT



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses, Agland analysis

\* Based on no significant intervention in the soybean industry



### Resource base: the region would have to increase the area planted with soybean by 237% to be self sufficient by 2020



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses, Agland analysis

\* Assumes yield improvements detailed on previous page



## Yield improvements: there are a number of methods that farmers can use to increase yields in the region

| Method   | Impact on yield                           |
|--|---|
| <ul> <li>Improvements to seed genetics</li> </ul>          | Step change: 15 – 20%<br>Ongoing: 1% p.a. |
| Irrigation   | 20% - 100%                                |
| <ul> <li>Plant population and row spacing</li> </ul>       | 5% - 10%                                  |
| <ul> <li>Inoculant use and effective nodulation</li> </ul> | 10% - 20%                                 |
| <ul> <li>Soil fertility (especially lime and P)</li> </ul> | 10% - 25%                                 |
| •Weed control  | 10% - 25%                                 |
| <ul> <li>Pest control (insects and disease)</li> </ul>     | 10% - 50%                                 |



Source: Agland analysis

## Trade flow: The region will remain a net importer of soybeans as they continue to build local production capacity



#### Challenges



- Background
- Production & productivity
- Demand
- Costs & competitiveness
- Forecast
- Challenges
  - Soybean specific challenges
  - General challenges

Vision & impact Recommendations



# Challenges: the region faces a number of challenges specific to soy that the industry should address

| Poor agronomic<br>practicesPoor agronomic practices, particularly among<br>smallholders, mean that yields are 25% lower than they<br>could be, reducing total production and farmer profitability•Angola, DRC, Malawi,<br>Mozambique,<br>Zimbabwe<br>•Zambia (smallholder<br>only)Poor input<br>quality and<br>prices•Farmers (particularly smallholders) choose not to use<br>inputs, leading to lower yields (by up to 25%) and higher<br>costs•Malawi, Mozambique,<br>DRCPoor application<br>of research•While there is considerable research on how to increase<br>soybean yields, poor links to producers mean that much of<br>it is not applied, reducing yields•All except South AfricaLack of free trade<br>in soybean<br>products•Alack of unused suitable means that future production<br>increases will require a reduction in land used to produce<br>competing crops (usually maize)•South AfricaInconsistent cake<br>protein content•Variations in the protein content of domestically processed<br>cake makes it less attractive than Argentine cake•South AfricaAck of credit•Credit not available to small holders•All, except South Africa | Challenge                                    | Impact   | Countries affected   |
|--|--|--|--|
| Poor input<br>quality and<br>prices•Farmers (particularly smallholders) choose not to use<br>inputs, leading to lower yields (by up to 25%) and higher<br>costs•Malawi, Mozambique,<br>DRCPoor application<br>of research•While there is considerable research on how to increase<br>soybean yields, poor links to producers mean that much of<br>it is not applied, reducing yields•All except South AfricaLack of free trade<br>in soybean<br>products•Inconsistent trade policy and a lack of free trade limits<br>regional production and keeps prices high•All, particularly ZambiaLack of unused<br>land•A lack of unused suitable means that future production<br>increases will require a reduction in land used to produce<br>competing crops (usually maize)•South AfricaInconsistent cake<br>protein content•Variations in the protein content of domestically processed<br>cake makes it less attractive than Argentine cake•All, except South AfricaLack of credit•Credit not available to small holders•All, except South Africa   | Poor agronomic<br>practices                  | <ul> <li>Poor agronomic practices, particularly among<br/>smallholders, mean that yields are 25% lower than they<br/>could be, reducing total production and farmer profitability</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Angola, DRC, Malawi,<br/>Mozambique,<br/>Zimbabwe</li> <li>Zambia (smallholder<br/>only)</li> </ul> |
| Poor application<br>of research•While there is considerable research on how to increase<br>soybean yields, poor links to producers mean that much of<br>it is not applied, reducing yields•All except South AfricaLack of free trade<br>in soybean<br>products•Inconsistent trade policy and a lack of free trade limits<br>regional production and keeps prices high•All, particularly ZambiaLack of unused<br>land•A lack of unused suitable means that future production<br>increases will require a reduction in land used to produce<br>competing crops (usually maize)•South AfricaInconsistent cake<br>protein content•Variations in the protein content of domestically processed<br>cake makes it less attractive than Argentine cake•All, except South AfricaLack of credit•Credit not available to small holders•All, except South Africa   | Poor input<br>quality and<br>prices          | <ul> <li>Farmers (particularly smallholders) choose not to use<br/>inputs, leading to lower yields (by up to 25%) and higher<br/>costs</li> </ul>  | • Malawi, Mozambique,<br>DRC   |
| Lack of free trade<br>in soybean<br>products• Inconsistent trade policy and a lack of free trade limits<br>regional production and keeps prices high• All, particularly ZambiaLack of unused<br>land• A lack of unused suitable means that future production<br>increases will require a reduction in land used to produce<br>competing crops (usually maize)• South Africa, MalawiInconsistent cake<br>protein content• Variations in the protein content of domestically processed<br>cake makes it less attractive than Argentine cake• South AfricaLack of credit• Credit not available to small holders• All, except South Africa   | Poor application of research                 | • While there is considerable research on how to increase soybean yields, poor links to producers mean that much of it is not applied, reducing yields                                       | All except South Africa  |
| Lack of unused<br>land• A lack of unused suitable means that future production<br>increases will require a reduction in land used to produce<br>competing crops (usually maize)• South Africa, MalawiInconsistent cake<br>protein content• Variations in the protein content of domestically processed<br>cake makes it less attractive than Argentine cake• South AfricaLack of credit• Credit not available to small holders• All, except South Africa   | Lack of free trade<br>in soybean<br>products | <ul> <li>Inconsistent trade policy and a lack of free trade limits<br/>regional production and keeps prices high</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>All, particularly Zambia</li> </ul>   |
| Inconsistent cake<br>protein content• Variations in the protein content of domestically processed<br>cake makes it less attractive than Argentine cake• South AfricaLack of credit• Credit not available to small holders• All, except South Africa  | Lack of unused<br>land                       | <ul> <li>A lack of unused suitable means that future production<br/>increases will require a reduction in land used to produce<br/>competing crops (usually maize)</li> </ul>                | South Africa, Malawi   |
| Lack of credit       • Credit not available to small holders       • All, except South Africa  | Inconsistent cake protein content            | Variations in the protein content of domestically processed cake makes it less attractive than Argentine cake  | South Africa   |
|  | Lack of credit                               | Credit not available to small holders  | • All, except South Africa   |

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses, Agland analysis

# Challenges: in addition, the region faces wider challenges which can only be addressed in partnership with others

| Challenge                                       | Impact  | Countries affected   |
|---|---|--|
| Unclear land<br>tenure                          | <ul> <li>Unclear land tenure discourages commercial production<br/>and reduces financing available</li> <li>This is particularly true in Zimbabwe where the land<br/>resolution process has not been completed</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Angola, DRC, Malawi,<br/>Mozambique, Zambia,<br/>Zimbabwe</li> </ul>          |
| Poor transport infrastructure                   | <ul> <li>Poor transport and border delays make it difficult to get<br/>the product to market and reduces the competitiveness<br/>of soy produced in the region, hampering trade</li> </ul>                                | <ul> <li>In-country: Zambia,<br/>Mozambique, DRC</li> <li>Cross-border: all</li> </ul> |
| Difficult business<br>environment               | <ul> <li>The business and policy environment is generally<br/>difficult, increasing the cost and risk of operating in<br/>country</li> </ul>  | • Angola, DRC  |
| Non-GMO policy                                  | <ul> <li>The use of non-GMO seeds reduced yields (by up to 20%) and increases production costs</li> </ul>   | All except South Africa  |
| Government<br>involvement in<br>competing crops | <ul> <li>Government support for politically charges competing<br/>crops (e.g., through input subsidies and guaranteed<br/>price and purchase programmes) distort the market<br/>against soybean production</li> </ul>     | <ul> <li>Zambia, Malawi,<br/>Zimbabwe</li> </ul>                                       |
| Low national priority of soybeans               | <ul> <li>Soybean is a low priority crop (given its low production<br/>volumes, low price and cultural barriers to consuming it),<br/>making it hard to effect policy change in its favour</li> </ul>                      | • All  |



# Challenges: poor agricultural practices are the biggest challenge that the industry can address directly

| Country         | Agricultural practices   | Processing   | Market   |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| South<br>Africa | <ul> <li>Low use of yield-enhancing cultivars</li> <li>Lack of land allocated to soybeans</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Low utilization of processing<br/>capacity</li> <li>Inconsistent protein content<br/>of processed cake</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Low acceptance of soybeans for human<br/>consumption</li> </ul>   |
| Zambia          | <ul> <li>High input and fixed costs</li> <li>Lack of irrigation [c]</li> <li>Input accessibility [sh]</li> <li>Poor agronomics [sh]</li> </ul>   |  | <ul> <li>Lack of accessible market [sh]</li> <li>Volatile domestic demand</li> </ul>   |
| Zim-<br>babwe   | <ul> <li>Skill transfer needed to small and new farmers</li> <li>Old equipment needs repair and replacement</li> <li>Unreliable electricity for irrigation</li> </ul>                              | <ul> <li>Low utilization impacting<br/>profitability and jobs</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Lack of cake constraining livestock<br/>production</li> </ul>   |
| Malawi          | <ul> <li>Poor agronomics</li> <li>Land constraints</li> <li>Poor seed quality, availability, and price</li> <li>Poor inoculant and fertilizer availability</li> <li>Small land holdings</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Risk of future over-capacity<br/>and bean shortage</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Lack of farmer marketing power and information</li> <li>High trade costs</li> </ul>   |
| Mozam-<br>bique | <ul> <li>Poor input availability (seed/inoculants)</li> <li>Lack of mechanization</li> <li>Poor agronomic practices</li> </ul>   |  | <ul> <li>Demand is far from production</li> <li>Lack of cohesion, particular the oil market</li> <li>Lack of market linkages</li> </ul>          |
| Angola          | Poor agronomic practices   | <ul> <li>Few skills to develop the<br/>soybean processing industry</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Lack of domestic market</li> <li>High distance between markets and<br/>producing areas</li> <li>Competition from other foods</li> </ul> |
| DRC             | <ul> <li>Poor availability and high cost of all inputs</li> <li>Inadequate seed conservation</li> <li>Poor agronomic practices</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Lack of quality at small scale<br/>processing</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Small and informal domestic market</li> <li>Little information on international markets</li> <li>Competition from palm oil</li> </ul>   |

**Regional** • Poor links between research and producers

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, NAMC country analyses, Agland analysis

# Challenges: governments must address the lack of true free trade in soybean products and the non-GMO policy

|                              | Policy   | Credit / finance  | Transport & storage  |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| South Africa                 | Land reforms could displace some<br>current soybean farmers  |   |  |
| Zambia                       | <ul> <li>Inconsistent trade policy</li> <li>Duty free palm oil imports</li> <li>Non-GMO policy</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>High cost and low availability<br/>of financing</li> </ul>               | <ul> <li>High transport costs</li> </ul>   |
| Zimbabwe                     | <ul> <li>Lack of land tenure linked to<br/>unresolved land resolution process</li> <li>Non-GMO policy</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Lack of liquidity leading to<br/>severe financing constraints</li> </ul> |  |
| Malawi                       | <ul> <li>FISP's narrow focus on crop<br/>diversification</li> <li>Non-GMO policy</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Financing cost and availability</li> </ul>                               |  |
| Mozambique                   | Non-GMO policy   |   | <ul> <li>Limited transport<br/>infrastructure</li> <li>Inadequate storage facilities</li> <li>Geography that leads to high<br/>transportation costs</li> </ul> |
| Angola                       | <ul> <li>Unstable policy environment</li> <li>Difficult business environment</li> <li>Non-GMO policy</li> </ul>  |   | Poor rural transport   |
| DRC                          | <ul><li>Difficult business</li><li>Non-GMO policy environment</li></ul>  |   | Poor rural transport   |
| Regional Source: TechnoServe | <ul> <li>Lack of free trade limits regional<br/>export opportunities</li> <li>Lack of seed harmonisation<br/>e country analyses, NAMC country analyses.</li> </ul> | Adland analysis   | Border delays  |

#### Vision & impact



Background Production & productivity Demand Costs & competitiveness Forecast Challenges

- Vision & impact
- Vision
- Economic activity
- Smallholder

Recommendations



### Vision: we believe the industry could produce 1.2 – 1.4m MT profitably by 2020, driven by commercial producers while supporting 400k smallholders

#### The industry today

- Total production of <850k MT across the region with almost no regional trade
- Little commercial production outside South Africa, Zambia and Zimbabwe
- 200,000 smallholders producing soy, but with little expertise and skills
- Yields as low as 80% below international standards for smallholders and 50% below forcommercial producers
   Marginally profitable crop for
- commercial producers and profitable only on a cash cost basis for smallholders
  - Low utilisation of processing capacity

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, Agland analysis

#### Our vision for the industry

- Total production of 1.2 1.4m MT across the region with increased regional trade
- Commercial production well established in all countries in the region, driving the growth of the industry
- 400,000 smallholders producing soy, with good skills and market access, with support from the private sector
- Yields within 50% of best international standards for smallholders and within 25% of best international standards for
- commercial producers
- Consistently profitable crop for commercial producers and smallholders
- Processing capacity utilisation
  - significantly improved



## Economic impact: the growth of the soybean industry could create \$ 217m p.a. increased income for the region by 2020

Additional income from growth of soybean industry, 2020, m USD p.a.



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, Agland analysis



## Smallholder impact: this could improve the lives of over 400k smallholders by between \$30 and \$600 p.a.



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, Agland analysis

\* Allows for the cash and labor costs incurred by smallholders, a 10% increase in maize yield when soybean is rotated with maize but excludes the opportunity cost of profits from alternative costs and the benefits of crop diversification



#### Recommendations



- Background
- Production & productivity
- Demand
- Costs & competitiveness
- Forecast
- Challenges
- Vision & impact

### Recommendations

- Steps to industry growth
- Recommendations for each
   step



### Recommendation: We propose a three phase process to developing an industry that profitably produces 1.2 - 1.4 m MT of soy by 2020



Source: TechnoServe country analyses, Agland analysis



### Phase I: Recommended actions (1/3)

| Category                   | Action  | Timing   | Impact  |
|----------------------------|---|----------|---|
| Industry co-<br>ordination | <b>Regional</b> : Establish a sustainable regional soybean alliance within an appropriate, existing institution; hire staff   | 4 mo.    | <ul> <li>Build capacity</li> </ul>  |
|                            | <b>National</b> : Identify national-level partners/institutions to lead efforts in each country (select partner based on historical performance & industry vision)  | 6 mo.    | <ul> <li>Build capacity</li> </ul>  |
| Industry<br>information    | <b>Monitoring:</b> Alliance coordinates, with national partners, the development of a regional stocks and trade flows monitoring system, including web-site development and SMS messaging capability; hire staff and/or contract with host country soybean partners | Year 1   | <ul> <li>Increased market<br/>transparency</li> </ul>                                     |
|                            | <b>Training</b> : Alliance coordinates training for national partners involved in stocks/trade flow monitoring; collaborate with USDA/NASS  | Year 1-2 | Data quality     Improved   |
| Regional integration       | <b>Seed certification</b> : strategic plan to fast-track regional seed certification/harmonization; identify key policy-makers & organizations  | Year 1-2 | Reduce     transaction costs  |
|                            | <b>Free Trade Area planning:</b> strategic plan to promote soybean free trade area; line up grassroots and political support; engage regional org's (COMESA, SADC, ACTESA, SACU, etc.); identify incentives for each country  | Year 2-3 | <ul> <li>Reduce<br/>transaction costs</li> <li>Improved source<br/>reliability</li> </ul> |

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, Agland analysis



TechnoServe

#### Phase I: National Associations & Regional Alliance

|           | Regional association: Sub-Saharan Africa<br>Soy Alliance (SASA)  | National associations  |
|-----------|--|--|
| Mission   | Catalyze the sustainable growth of a g   | globally competitive soy industry in Africa  |
| Scope     | <ul> <li>Sub-Sahara African with a focus on supporting<br/>countries with the highest production potential</li> </ul>  | Country specific   |
| Members   | <ul><li>National associations</li><li>Stakeholders with a regional agenda</li></ul>  | <ul> <li>Local public and private sector stakeholders<br/>from across the value chain</li> </ul>   |
| Functions | <ul> <li>Advocate for regulatory and policy change at a regional level</li> <li>Promote soy production and consumption across the region</li> <li>Support establishment of high performing national soy associations in all member countries</li> <li>Facilitate linkages between member countries (e.g. organise an annual conference, collate member directory)</li> <li>Liaise with international soy related institutions</li> <li>Provide services to members (e.g. Market information services)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Advocate for regulatory and policy change at a national level</li> <li>Promote national soy production and consumption</li> <li>Address specific barriers to industry growth across the value chain (e.g., through working groups)</li> <li>Represent members' interests at SASA</li> <li>Provide services to members (e.g., Training)</li> </ul> |

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, Agland analysis



#### Phase I: Recommended actions (2/3)

| Category                 | Action  | Timing   | Impact  |
|--------------------------|---|----------|---|
| Commercial expansion     | <b>Farm feasibility:</b> With partners (e.g. ,Trans-Farm Africa), develop commercial farming feasibility studies / business cases for Zambia, Zimbabwe, DRC, Angola, Mozambique   | Year 2-3 | <ul> <li>Improved<br/>source<br/>reliability</li> </ul>                                 |
| Smallholder<br>expansion | <b>Build supply chain</b> : Create field departments within<br>companies that buy or sully soybeans small farmers,<br>developing capacity to deliver extension services to famers<br>and aggregate smallholder production (including small holder<br>mapping activities)  | Year 2-3 | <ul> <li>Increase<br/>yields</li> <li>Reduce unit,<br/>transaction<br/>costs</li> </ul> |
| Seed<br>improvement      | Improve genetics: In concert with local universities & MOA's implement GMO soybean & maize trials in selected countries   | Year 1   | Reduced     unit cost   |
|                          | <b>Commercialise research:</b> Link field departments with university researchers in each country to identify and test / demonstrate new soybean genetics and production practices in field environments  | Year 2-3 | <ul> <li>Reduced<br/>unit cost</li> </ul>   |
|                          | <b>Education &amp; advocacy:</b> Through the Alliance, develop a 5-<br>year regional strategic plan (identify specific benefits of new<br>genetics for each country), educate key policy-makers and the<br>public on biotechnology, starting by identifying policy-makers<br>and opinion-makers; develop an educational exchange<br>program with EMBRAPA (Brazil), IITA | Year 2-3 | Reduced     unit cost   |

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, Agland analysis



### Phase I: Supporting smallholder soybean farmers and supply chain development via private extension services

| Private company: field department example activities   | Impact   |
|--|--|
| <ul> <li>Soil testing &amp; soil fertility management training</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Reduced risk &amp; higher yields</li> </ul> |
| <ul> <li>Irrigation training &amp; irrigation development advising</li> </ul>                                    | <ul> <li>Reduced risk &amp; higher yields</li> </ul> |
| Inoculants use   | <ul> <li>Higher yields &amp; income</li> </ul>       |
| Weed control / management  | <ul> <li>Higher yields &amp; income</li> </ul>       |
| Insect & pathogen management   | <ul> <li>Reduced risk &amp; higher yields</li> </ul> |
| Improved genetics  | <ul> <li>Reduced risk &amp; higher yields</li> </ul> |
| Optimize plant populations   | <ul> <li>Higher yields &amp; incomes</li> </ul>      |
| <ul> <li>Farm business training – record keeping</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Business skill development</li> </ul>       |
| <ul> <li>Link small farmers to soybean supply chain &amp;<br/>develop price risk mitigation mechanism</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Reduced transaction costs</li> </ul>        |
| <ul> <li>Foster new entrants to soybean farming</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Increased area planted</li> </ul>           |



BUSINESS SOLUTIONS TO POVERTY

#### Phase I: Science based technologies



Looper damage in Zambian soybean field, February 2011 Source: Agland analysis

### Target Genes:

- BT & CpTi 📥 worms
- RR is reduced labor
- Snowdrop aphides / suckers
- Improved digestibility
- Activities:
- Demo's & trials
- Policy maker education
- Regional policy harmonization

"The regional ban on GMO's has relegated farmers to using antiquated genetics, and they will become less and less competitive over time." *Seed company, South Africa* 



### Phase I: Recommended actions (3/3)

| Category                              | Action  | Timing                                 | Impact  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Human<br>consumption<br>and nutrition | <b>Reduce malnutrition:</b> Develop human nutrition feeding centres pilot project in the DRC, linked with smallholder soybean producers   | Year 1-3                               | Improved<br>health  |
|                                       | <b>Expand human consumption:</b> Examine Malawi case study to identify ways of incorporating soybeans into diet of general population and work with companies to roll out the products for human consumption in neighbouring countries                  | Year 2-3                               | <ul> <li>Improved<br/>health</li> <li>Increased<br/>market</li> </ul>       |
| Market<br>expansion                   | Address needs of end-users: Link field departments into<br>downstream industries (poultry, pork, dairy, etc.) and others,<br>to facilitate communication of SPS, product specifications<br>and other technical issues of importance to final consumers. | Year 2-3                               | <ul> <li>Reduced unit<br/>cost</li> <li>Increased<br/>efficiency</li> </ul> |
| Research                              | <b>Targeted research:</b> Soybean Alliance provides targeted support to agronomic, policy, trade, food security, research through grants and joint proposal development etc.  | Year 2-3<br>(into<br>Phase II,<br>III) | <ul> <li>Strengthens<br/>industry via<br/>targeted<br/>research</li> </ul>  |
|                                       | <b>Competing crops:</b> Analyse the effect of policy on competing crops on soybeans and influence the national conversations around those competing commodity crops to promote soybeans   | Year 2-3<br>(into<br>Phase II,<br>III) | Reduce<br>market<br>distortions   |



### Phase II: Recommended actions (1/2)

| Category                   | Action  | Timing   | Impact   |
|----------------------------|---|----------|--|
| Industry Co-<br>ordination | <b>Long term funding of Alliance:</b> Regional soybean alliance seeks and obtains outside funding, and becomes financially self-sufficient at end of Yr 5   | Year 5   | Sustainability   |
| Industry<br>information    | <b>Trade flow information:</b> Publicly available trade monitoring information activities becomes financially self-sufficient by support from industry partners and others.   | Year 5   | Sustainability   |
| Regional integration       | <b>Seed &amp; free trade regulatory language:</b> Regional & national soybean alliance partners & regional institutional partners (COMESA, etc) propose regulatory language for seed certification protocols and soybean free trade area.   | Year 4   | <ul> <li>Improved<br/>policy<br/>environment</li> </ul>                                  |
| Commercial<br>expansion    | <b>Facilitate commercial farm investments</b> : In parallel with land tenure improvement activities, promote farming/soybean sector investments in the region; provide business case / feasibility studies (including DRC & Angola) via trade shows and investment conferences.                             | Year 4-5 | <ul> <li>Improved<br/>industry<br/>economies of<br/>scale</li> </ul>                     |
|                            | <b>Farm enterprise zones:</b> Partner with national business<br>development agencies in each country to educate on and<br>advocate for commercial farming zones available to domestic<br>and international investors, including the development of<br>required infrastructure (e.g., irrigation, transport) | Year 4-5 | <ul> <li>Improved<br/>business<br/>environment<br/>and source<br/>reliability</li> </ul> |

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, Agland analysis



TechnoServe

#### Phase II: Recommended actions (2/2)

| Category                              | Action  | Timing         | Impact   |
|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|--|
| Smallholder<br>expansion              | Launch second wave of buyers: Expand and fine tune field department model, recruiting new companies that develop and purchase from small farmers                            | Year 4-5       | <ul> <li>Improved<br/>supply<br/>chain<br/>efficiency</li> </ul> |
| Seed<br>improvement                   | <b>Continue science based crop improvement trials:</b> Via cooperation of universities, seed companies and government agencies continue to test & deliver improved genetics | Year 5         | Reduce<br>farmgate<br>unit cost                                  |
| Human<br>consumption<br>and nutrition | <b>Expand feeding centres:</b> Work with donors to roll-out feeding centres & soy-human nutrition activities in the DRC.  | Year 5         | <ul> <li>Improved<br/>health</li> </ul>                          |
|                                       | <b>Malawi model roll out</b> : Expand Malawi human consumption model across the region  | Year 4-5       | <ul> <li>Improved<br/>health</li> </ul>                          |
| Market<br>expansion                   | <b>Corporate Social Responsibility:</b> promote CSR activities that support soybean sector development, for example, in Angola and the DRC.                                 | Year 4         | • Expand<br>Soybean<br>industry to<br>new areas                  |
| Land tenure improvement               | Land tenure: Work in concert with other stakeholders to improve land tenure laws, including titling for selected agricultural tracts available to investment community.     | Year 4-5       | <ul> <li>Improve<br/>access to<br/>credit</li> </ul>             |
|                                       | "I and tanura avatam in Zambia is a problem, most land is loss  | a bald" Tradar | Zemphie  |

"Land tenure system in Zambia is a problem; most land is lease-hold." Trader, Zambia

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, Agland analysis

TechnoServe

62

Both smallholder and commercial

#### Phase III: Recommended actions (1/2)

| Category   | Action   | Timing    | Impact   |
|--|--|-----------|--|
| Industry co-<br>ordination   | <b>Governance:</b> Review performance and governance of alliance and national partners to renew the strategy and refine organisation structures  | Year 6    | Improved     governance  |
|  | <b>Grow the Alliance:</b> Identify countries that provide strategic benefit for Alliance and execute expansion   | Year 6-10 | • Expand<br>industry   |
| Industry<br>information  | <b>Expand services:</b> Review performance and identify new services and technologies that optimise the collection and delivery of information to the industry (e.g., GIS, satellite monitoring of conditions) | Year 6-10 | <ul> <li>Reduce<br/>industry<br/>costs</li> <li>Reduce risk</li> </ul>               |
| Regional integration   | <b>Roll out policy &amp; regulatory reforms:</b> Regional soybean alliance and national partners work with governments to adopt free trade area reforms and roll-out new policies/regulations.                 | Year 6-10 | <ul> <li>Reduce<br/>transaction<br/>costs</li> <li>Reduce<br/>trade risk</li> </ul>  |
| Commercial expansion   | Support growth of commercial soybean farming: Continue to work with governments to streamline investment processes   | Year 6-10 | <ul> <li>Improved<br/>infra-<br/>structure<br/>and source<br/>reliability</li> </ul> |
| "Must have free trade at the borders first." <i>Trader, South Africa</i> |  |           |  |

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, Agland analysis

TechnoServe

63

Both smallholder and commercial

### Phase III: Recommended actions (2/2)

| Category                              | Action  | Timing        | Impact  |
|---------------------------------------|---|---------------|---|
| Smallholder<br>expansion              | Independent funding for supply chain development<br>activity : Phase out support for field department activities<br>and turn over management and funding to the regional<br>soybean alliance and national partners. | Year 6-<br>10 | Sustainability  |
| Seed<br>improvement                   | <b>Seed industry reform roll out:</b> Regional soybean alliance<br>and national partners work with governments to adopt seed<br>industry reforms and roll-out new policies/regulations.                             | Year 6-10     | <ul> <li>New policy<br/>adopted</li> </ul>                                  |
| Human<br>consumption<br>and nutrition | Independent funding for feed centres: Transition funding of feeding centre / human nutrition activities in DRC to other donors.   | Year 6-10     | Sustainability  |
|                                       | <b>Commercial soybean products in market:</b> continue to roll out Malawi human consumption model across the region   | Year 6-10     | <ul> <li>Improved<br/>health</li> </ul>                                     |
| Market<br>expansion                   | Suppliers address technical requirements of end-users:<br>Work with industry supply chain to better meet the technical<br>specifications / needs of end users   | Ongoing       | <ul> <li>Reduced cost</li> <li>More<br/>competitive<br/>industry</li> </ul> |
| Land tenure improvement               | Land title & tenure policy & regulations roll out: Work<br>with governments to adopt land tenure and titling<br>improvements and roll-out new policies/regulations.   | Year 6-10     | <ul> <li>New policy<br/>adopted</li> </ul>                                  |

Source: TechnoServe country analyses, Agland analysis





- Do you agree with our vision for the industry?
- Do you agree with our recommendations? What will it take to make them happen?
- How best can SASA contribute to the growth of the soybean industry? Will you join it?





### Thank you

### Q & A







Industry Vision The region has a profitable and sustainable soybean industry that produces and processes at least 1.5m MT per year meeting more than 40% demand in the region

SASA Mission Catalyse the growth of a competitive soybean industry in Sub Saharan Africa by establishing, and working with, national soybean associations, to address constraints throughout the value chain in order to support soybean producers and processors to increase their volumes and profitability



SASA has support from key stakeholders

### BILL&MELINDA GATES foundation















